Optimization of regeneration at the stages of soft tissue augmentation using a collagen matrix

Abstract:
Lack of adequate width and thickness of periodontal or peri-implant soft tissues can compromise the aesthetics, function or survival of teeth and dental implants. Biomaterials are widely used in dentistry to overcome the disadvantages of autogenous tissue transplantation. The advantage of using biomaterials is that there is no need for re-surgery and that they are available in large quantities. The most widely used biomaterial for soft tissue augmentation is collagen, as it is believed to best mimic the natural cellular environment of the extracellular matrix, although other biomaterials are also candidates for soft tissue regeneration. Collagen matrices differ in composition, three-dimensional structure, elasticity and mechanical stability.
Aim. is to review the literature on the optimization of regeneration at the stages of soft tissue augmentation using a collagen matrix.
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the rigidity of the biomaterial determines the cell invasion and the type of cells into which the mesenchymal cells will differentiate. Cell invasion into collagen frameworks depends on the presence of highly interconnected pores. Moreover, cell proliferation at an early stage depends on the presence of vascular structures. Endothelial and fibroblastic cells work together, eventually leading to the filling of the voids in the biomaterial with collagen as part of the extracellular matrix.

The use of free connective tissue graft is a predictable and versatile method that creates a bilaminar vascular environment to nourish the graft [10, 11]. However, healing of the donor site in the palate area is painful and slow, which can lead to its complications. Also, we would like to note the limited volume of the necessary graft intake. There are also anatomical and individual limitations. Depending on the shape of the palate vault [12], the patient’s gender and age, the amount and quality of tissue that can be obtained. The location of the palate vessels and nerves further limit the grafting procedure. To overcome these obstacles, alternative methods of soft tissue augmentation with a collagen matrix have been developed.

I would like to describe this alternative in more detail. This is a highly porous and volume-stable collagen-based matrix (VSMC, Fibro-Gide® prototype, Geistlich Pharma AG, Wolhusen, Switzerland) for soft tissue augmentation around teeth and dental implants [13]. It has the properties of bioocompatibility, blood vessels and progenitor cells sprout into it, as well as to withstand mechanical stresses in the early stages of postoperative engraftment resulting from suturing, wound compression and chewing, thereby maintaining the volume of newly formed tissue. In vivo and in vitro studies have demonstrated optimal mechanical, biological and anatomical properties of VSMCs [14, 15]. The collagen matrix consisted of 60-96% (wt/mass) Pig Collagen types I and III and 4-40% (wt/mass) Elastin, had an average pore diameter of 92 μm and a volume porosity of 93% with interconnected pores. The stiffness of the framework was achieved by chemical cross-linking. The framework remained elastic even after the application of mechanical forces for 14 days, which was evaluated in a dynamic bioreactor test system simulating mechanical loads in the human mouth in vivo [16]. Clinically, soft tissue augmentation using VSMCs resulted in an increase in load in the human mouth in vivo [16]. Clinically, soft tissue augmentation using VSMCs resulted in an increase in load in the human mouth. The period of rehabilitation after surgery is much easier for the patients. In the postoperative period, pain syndrome is less pronounced, collateral edema is much less. The collagen matrix greatly simplifies the operation. We must certainly note the convenience of its use in practice, the doctor himself cut out the necessary shape, according to pre-established marks for length, width and thickness. And this collagen matrix already has decent long-term clinical results.

The first insight into the tissue response and behavior of VSMCs after implantation was obtained in a recently published, empirical study [15]. However, there are currently no data on the characteristics of the cells invading VSMCs and the dynamic changes with the lapse of time. In Caballé-Serrano J co – authorship (2019) investigated the integration of macrophages, blood vessels, and proliferating cells into VSMCs used for soft tissue augmentation around teeth and dental implants. Biomaterial was implanted into the submucosal pockets of the dog’s upper jaw, and the tissue response was analyzed at 6 different time points. Immunohistochemistry was performed for proliferating cells (PCNA), macrophages (MAC387), multinucleated giant cells (CD86), and blood vessels (TGM2). Blood quickly filled the pores of VSMCs. During the first week, MAC387 cells populated the VSMC pores, blood vessels and PCNA cells integrated into VSMCs, and scattered CD86 cells were observed. After 15 days, MAC387 cells were scarce, blood vessels had fully invaded VSMCs, the number of proliferating cells peaked, and fibroblasts appeared. After 30 days, MAC387 was absent, the number of proliferating and CD86 cells had decreased, while the number of blood vessels and fibroblasts was high. After 90 days, residual VSMCs were well integrated into the connective tissue. As a result, the author showed that VSMC induced a short inflammatory phase followed by rapid integration into the tissue [19].

One of the main problems of biomatrixes for directed tissue regeneration is the instability of their volume and rapid degradation. In a study by Vallecillo C co – authorship (2021) studied the degradation of three matrices over time [20]. To this end, 10 × 10 mm 2 Fibro-Gide, Mucograft, and Mucoderm pieces were subjected to three different decomposition tests: (1) hydrolytic decomposition in phosphate buffer solution (PBS); (2) enzyme resistance using 0.25% porcine trypsin solution; and (3) resistance to bacterial collagenase (Clostridium histolyticum), with different immersion periods up to 50 days. Weight measurements were made using analytical microbalances. Thickness was measured with a digital caliper. A stereomicroscope was used to obtain images of the matrices. ANOVA and Student-Newman-Keuls tests were used for comparisons of mean values (p < 0.05), except for analysis of differences between time points within the same matrix and solution, where pairwise comparisons (p < 0.001) were applied. Fibro-Gide achieved the highest resistance to all degradation problems. The bacterial collagenase solution was shown to be the most aggressive test as all matrices exhibited 100% degradation until 14 days of storage.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Although the use of autografts for soft tissue augmentation is still the gold standard nowadays, obtaining an autograft is not always possible due to factors such as lack of autograft tissue (a graft may have been taken earlier), additional operating field in the mouth, possible risks of complications such as bleeding, tissue necrosis in the area of the graft, therefore an alternative to autograft – soft tissue augmentation with a collagen matrix was considered.

In addition to the fact that the collagen matrix meets the above requirements, it should also be noted that when soft tissue augmentation is performed using the collagen matrix, the period of rehabilitation after surgery is much easier for the patients. In the postoperative period, pain syndrome is less pronounced, collateral edema is much less.

The collagen matrix greatly simplifies the operation. We must certainly note the convenience of its use in practice, the doctor himself cut out the necessary shape, according to pre-established marks for length, width and thickness. And this collagen matrix already has decent long-term clinical results.

To sum up, I would like to note that this technique of soft tissue augmentation has many advantages, which cannot but make both doctors and patients happy.